

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,225	03/23/2004	Donald V. Edwards	SIM 04023 8484	
7590 03/20/2006			EXAMINER	
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES 2640 PITCAIRN ROAD			WATSON, ROBERT C	
	LE, PA 15146		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3723	-
		DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/807,225	EDWARDS, DONALD V.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	P		
		Robert C. Watson	3723			
1 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress		
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this core ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u>	ebruary 2006.		دن		
2a)⊠ Tł	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) <u></u> Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
cle	osed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	of Claims					
•	aim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
	) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,13,17-26,28 and</u>	29 is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.			
, <del></del>	aim(s) is/are allowed.					
	aim(s) <u>1-5,9-12,14-16 and 27</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to.					
	aim(s) israte objected to: aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		+		
	·	,				
Application	·	_				
•	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acce		Evaminer			
•	pplicant may not request that any objection to the					
•	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		R 1.121(d).		
	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority une	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	-	priority under 25 H C C S 110/o	) (d) or (f)	şă.		
12)∐ AC a)∏	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(a) or (i).	**		
,	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	<ul> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		ion No.			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·		Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	· ·		Ü		
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.			
	·					
Attachment(s)				4s		
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Informat	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date			-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 9-11, 14, 16, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Younick.

Younick shows an apparatus for applying upward pressure to an object. apparatus includes a first means 6, an elongated rod 1, a second means 4, and a third means 7. The third means 7 "engages the support 3" (Younick, column 2, line 13). This is construed by the examiner as engaging the support 3 always even during a prying operation. Clearly, the tool operates by third means 7 supporting and pivoting Even if the third means 7 supported and pivoted the apparatus for a said apparatus. small infinitesimal time it would read on the claim language. Since the third means 7 "engages the support 3" it must necessarily be on an underside of the second means. The bottom of third means 7 is at least coplanar with the underside of the second means 4 which is interpreted as being "on the underside of the second means 4" since this is a broadly written phrase subject to various interpretations. Since the Younick device is a "wrecking bar", the examiner takes Official Notice that wrecking bars are commonly made from steel and that steel would have the strength characteristics recited in the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 3, 5, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Younick in view of Morgen and Christensen et al.

Morgen teaches that various object and user hand engaging portions of the lifting lever may include grips or caps to cover the extremities of these engaging portions.

To provide grips or caps on any of the engaging portions of Younick would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Morgenberger.

Christensen et al teaches that the object engaging portions of a lever may be made from rubber or plastics. The examiner takes Official Notice that a common plastic is polyethylene. To make any engaging portion in Younick from polyethylene would have been obvious at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of Christensen et al.

Claims 6-8, 13, 17-26, and 28-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/21/05.

The Ward and Marson lever lifting tools are cited to show a third means disposed below the bar.

Applicant's remarks have been carefully considered. Applicant's remark that bar 7 of Younick does function to support and pivot the tool is found by the examiner to

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

be far fetched. Since the bottom of bar 7 "engages the support 3" (Younick, column 2, line 13), and since this is construed as engaging the support 3 always even during a prying operation then, necessarily, this bar must function to support and pivot the tool during the prying operation. It is noted in applicant's arguments that applicant urges that the claims are patentable because "the third means 7 of Younick is not disposed below the bar 2". However, the examiner is unable to find in the claims a recitation that the third means is disposed below the bar. The claim language the examiner finds is that "the third means is disposed on an underside of the second means". A third means coplanar with the underside of the second means is considered on an underside of the second means.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,225

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER